arXiv:1401.2404v2 [physics.gen-ph] 23 Jan 2014

Planck's constant as adiabatic invariant characterized by Hubble's and cosmological constants

Anton Lipovka
Department of investigation for Physics. Sonora State University,Mexico

January 24, 2014

Abstract

In the present work we suggest a non-local generalization of quantum theory which include quantum theory as a particular case. On the basis of the idea, that Planck constant is an adiabatic invariant of free electromagnetic field, we calculate the value of Plank constant from first principles, namely from the geometry of our Universe. The basic nature of the quantum theory is discussed. The nature of the dark energy is revealed.

PACS: 12.60.-i

1 Introduction

Quantum Theory (in accordance with the historical terminology, we shall call "Quantum Theory" (QT) the theory, based on the concept of wave functions, or probability amplitudes), that recently celebrated its 100-year anniversary, allowed at the time to overcome a crisis that happened in atomic physics, giving researchers a necessary tool for the calculation of atomic and subatomic phenomena with an accuracy which is in striking agreement with experiment. However, since its foundation, more than hundred years ago, physicists and mathematicians are still attempting to understand what is behind this unusual and strange QT formalism.

Quantum Mechanics (QM) from the beginning (and then Quantum Field Theory as its successor) was built on the axiomatic approach, which cannot be considered as satisfactory. So, the concept of the wave function was postulated for all describable entities. On the other hand, the evolution operator for a system is linear with the wave function, whereas its square appears as the result of the measurement process. If we add to the above the presence of divergences and unrenormalizability of theories in general case, the complexity encountered in trying to combine QT with general relativity (GR), and the inability to obtain the mass and charge out of first principles, the incompleteness

of QT becomes apparent, and thus there is a need to find a complete theory describing the atomic and nuclear systems.

Since the moment of discovery, QT did not please its creators, giving rise to numerous discussions about the place for probability in physics, the wave-particle duality, discussion of thought experiments and paradoxes. We shall not discuss here again the well-known history of QT, for that the reader should refer to the monograph by M. Jammer (1967). With such an "unusual" physics, researchers put up nearly a century, excusing its numerous defects, because QT allowed calculate physically interesting phenomena in excellent agreement with the experiment. The situation began to change in the last decade of the 20th century, when the crisis that hit theoretical physics became obvious to many physicists and people started talking loudly about the problems that arise when trying to unify QT and GR.

Among the most serious problems of the Standard Model we can mention the following:

1. The problem of the collapse of the wave function (the problem of the observer, or Einstein – Podolsky – Rosen paradox).

2. The presence of unrenormalizable (in general) divergences.

3. The huge discrepancy between the calculated with QFT methods and observed cosmological constant (so called dark energy problem).

4. QT conflicts with general relativity at the horizon of black holes.

5. Recent experimental data obtained with the Planck satellite, which disfavors all the best-motivated inflationary scenarios (A. Ljjas, P.J. Steinhardt, A. Loeb 2013).

6. Inability of a reasonable harmonization or unification of the standard model with gravity.

This incomplete list of problems indicates very serious gaps in our understanding of Nature. For the most part, the problems appear directly or indirectly from a misunderstanding of the basis of the quantum theory, and the nature of her main concepts and axioms.

The present paper is urged to fill the above mentioned gaps and to specify a way free from the difficulties listed above. We begin with a generalization of the quantum theory because in its present form it cannot be unified with General relativity.

2 Quantization

It is well known that quantum mechanics arose from the need to explain the experimentally observed blackbody emission spectrum and atomic spectra. Planck was the first to propose an analytical formula to describe the spectral energy distribution which was consistent with the experiment. However, as it was noted by Einstein (Einstein, 1906), the way in which Planck obtained his result, was not quite correct, though it did lead to the correct result. The problem was that Planck included in his formula not only the electromagnetic field, but also

oscillators associated with the matter. As a result, in the electrodynamic part, based on Maxwell's equations, the energy of the oscillators has a continuously varying value, while in the statistical part the same energy is considered as a discrete value (quantized).

In 1905 Einstein published the work (Einstein, 1905) in which he showed that the emission field (without any assumptions on the matter properties) behaves, so as if consists of separate quanta (photons), characterized by energy hv. Later, in 1910 Debye (Debye, 1910) showed that Planck's formula can be deduced for the pure radiation field, absolutely without any assumptions on the oscillator's properties of the substance. Thus Planck's law and all its consequences, follows from the fact that the energy of freely propagating electromagnetic field is divided by parts proportional to hv. Recently this result was confirmed experimentally by Grangier, Roger and Aspect (1986). This fact is the only we need to obtain the Planck constant from the geometry of our Universe, so reader who is interested in the relation between the Planck constant and Cosmological constant can read now the chapter "Adiabatic invariant". But we consider here some consequences for the Quantum theory, which follows from the properties of the electromagnetic field mentioned above.

It is known that the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory (so-called old quantum theory), based on the adiabatic hypothesis, is founded on two quantum axioms, which when added to the axioms of classical mechanics allows us to build a quantum theory. These two axioms are written as:

$$\oint p_k dq_k = n_k h \tag{1.1}$$

$$E_1 - E_2 = h\nu \tag{1.2}$$

The hypothesis expressed by Sommerfeld served as the basis for the writing of these relations. It states that in each elementary process, the action of the atom changes by an amount equal to the Planck constant. However, if we take into account the results obtained by Einstein and Debye, we easily receive these postulates, as a consequence of classical mechanics, i.e. we can construct the reasonable classical theory of emission / absorption in lines, and the classical atomic theory without recurring to the concept (axiom) of the wave function and the problems provoked by last one. It should be stressed here, that the so-called "new quantum theory" also is based on the axiom, and this axiom (of the wave functions existence) cannot be explained or reduced to real physics, whereas the Bohr-Sommerfeld axioms can be reduced to (or obtained from) classical physics, which provide us with a fundamental view to the basic concept and understanding the nature of the quantum theory.

To achieve the above, it should be noted, that there are only two fields, which are carrying out interactions at big distances ($r > 10^{-11}cm$). These are the electromagnetic and the gravitational fields. Considering that the interaction constant for a gravitational field is negligible in comparison with an electromagnetic one, we can surely approve the following:

Everything that we see, feel, hear, measure, and we register with detectors, is an electromagnetic field and nothing else. That is we perceive the real world in the form of this picture, by means of electromagnetic waves registered by us. It is important to understand, that the electromagnetic field acts as intermediary between the observer and the real (micro) world, hiding from us reality (the so-called idea of existence of the "hidden parameters in QM"). In our case these hidden parameters lose the mystical meaning, becoming usual classical variables - coordinates and momenta of particles, but which can be measured only by the electromagnetic field means.

Thus as a starting point we propose the following:

1) The electromagnetic field is the only field responsible for interaction between objects and observer in Quantum mechanics.

2) The free electromagnetic field is quantized without the need of any assumptions about the properties of oscillators. That is the Planck's relation of $E = h\nu$, $P = \hbar k$ is satisfied, irrespective of the oscillators properties (see papers of Einstein (1905), Debye (1910) and Grangier, Roger and Aspect (1986)).

The last thesis means that there exists (and therefore can be emitted) only the photon possessing the period 2π. In other words, emission / absorption of a photon can occur only for the whole period of movement of a charge (in system of coordinates in which proceed the emission / absorption).

Let's consider the closed system in which charge moves cyclically and with constant acceleration. In this case the Hamilton function of the electron does not depend explicitly on time. Let's write down it as:

$$H = K + U = E = const \tag{1.3}$$

where K, U are kinetic and potential energy and E is a total energy of system.

Then function of Lagrange is:

$$L = K - U = 2K - E \tag{1.4}$$

Let's write down action for the bounded electron:

$$S = \int_0^t L d\tau = 2\int_0^t K d\tau - Et = S_0 - Et \tag{1.5}$$

but

$$\Delta S = \int_0^{T_1} L_1 d\tau - \int_0^{T_2} L_2 d\tau = 0 \quad ,$$

where T_1 and T_2 are the periods of movement of the electron in our system on the first and second orbits respectively. Then, considering the equation of Hamilton-Jacobi, for two different orbits 1 and 2 we have

$$\Delta S = S_2 - S_1 = 2\int_0^{T_2} K_2 d\tau - 2\int_0^{T_1} K_1 d\tau - (E_2T_2 - E_1T_1) = 0$$

However (see statements 1 and 2, mentioned above)

$$(E_2T_2 - E_1T_1) = h\nu T_{ph} = h \tag{1.6}$$

is action for a emitted / absorbed photon. Thus

$$2\int_0^{T_2} K_2 dt - 2\int_0^{T_1} K_1 dt = h \tag{1.7}$$

that actually represents the first axiom of Bohr-Sommerfeld (1.1).

Let us consider for example an electron in the central field in the nonrelativistic limit. We have: $K = \frac{1}{2}p\dot{q}$ and $dt = \frac{dq}{\dot{q}}$, where $p = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}}$. Then expression (1.7) gives

$$\oint p_2 dq_2 - \oint p_1 dq_1 = h \tag{1.8}$$

which for s-state of atom of hydrogen gives a known ratio

$$mr_2^2\dot{\varphi}_2 - mr_1^2\dot{\varphi}_1 = \hbar \ , \tag{1}$$

or, the same

$$M_2 - M_1 = \hbar \ , \tag{1.9}$$

where M_2 and M_1 are the angular momenta. To write down the expression (1.9) we used the fact that the obtained values $mr^2\dot{\varphi}$ formally coincides with the angular momenta in the central field.

Let's put $M_0 = 0$ (that corresponds to $r_0 = 0$). In this case we have $M_1 = M_0 + \Delta M$, but $\Delta M = \hbar$, so we obtain

$$M_1 = M_0 + \hbar = \hbar \ , \ M_2 = M_1 + \hbar = 2\hbar..., \ M_n = n\hbar \tag{1.10}$$

From expression (1.10) and a principle of mechanical similarity for the central potentials of $U \sim r^k$, we have

$$\frac{M'}{M} = \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{1+\frac{k}{2}} ; \frac{E'}{E} = \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{k} \ , \tag{2}$$

from where follows:

$$r_n = r_1 (n)^{\frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{2}}} \text{ and } E_n = E_1 (n)^{\frac{k}{1+\frac{k}{2}}} \tag{1.11}$$

Then for a classical harmonic oscillator ($k = 2.$) from (1.11) we get:

$$r_n = r_1 \sqrt{n} \; ; \; E_n = E_1 n \tag{1.12}$$

and for atom of hydrogen

$$r_n = r_1 n^2 \; ; \; E_n = \frac{E_1}{n^2} \tag{1.13}$$

The value E_1 in the last expression can be found easily from expression (1.6) $(E_2 T_2 - E_1 T_1) = h$. Accepting classical value of the period

$$T = \pi e^2 \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 |E|^3}} \; , \tag{1.14}$$

and taking into account (1.13) $E_2 = \frac{1}{4} E_1$ we have:

$$E_1 = \frac{m e^4}{2 \hbar^2} \tag{1.15}$$

Thus we showed that so-called quantization of system (axioms of Bohr-Sommerfeld) arises in absolutely classical way from the intrinsic properties of the electromagnetic field and cannot be treated as quantum property of space or matter.

3 Harmonic oscillator

There is a common misconception that the addition term of 1/2, which appears in the energy of the harmonic oscillator, is a quantum effect and is associated with the so-called zero - oscillations. Due to the methodological importance of this question, we discuss it here in a little more detail in the non-relativistic limit, and show that it is a purely classical effect.

Accordingly to classical mechanics, the energy of the harmonic oscillator is:

$$E = \frac{m}{2} (\dot{r}^2 + \omega^2 r^2) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$. Then, considering that for the harmonic oscillator $\bar{T} = \bar{U}$, we obtain for the average energy for the period:

$$E_n = m r_n^2 \omega^2 \tag{2.2}$$

To carry out transition from an initial state of system to the final one $E_n \rightarrow E_k$, we should "take away" energy from our oscillator by electromagnetic field.

It is known that emission of an electromagnetic field by a moving charge differ from zero only if we integrate for the full period T of movement in the course of which the emission or absorption appears. It corresponds to the fact that the full photon instead of a part is emitted / absorbed, that is the generated field satisfying to a periodicity condition.

The factor of proportionality between energy and frequency for a free electromagnetic field is $\hbar$:

$$\Delta E = E_n \ - E_k = \hbar\omega_{nk} \tag{2.3}$$

(Once again we emphasize here that as it follows from Einstein's and Debye works, the constant $\hbar$ concerns only to the electromagnetic field and do not appears in any way from matter properties, or the size of the system under consideration). Expression (1.12) gives a ratio between energy levels, however considering (2.3) it is clear that the residual energy $E_0 = U(r_1)$ cannot be emitted by a photon $\hbar\omega$, because

$$\Delta E = E_1 \ - E_0 = mr_1^2\omega^2 - \frac{1}{2}mr_1^2\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}E_1 \ < \hbar\omega \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore this additive constant (which appears owing to the shape of the potential) should be simply added to the expression (1.12):

$$E_n = nE_1 + \frac{1}{2}E_1 \ = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, the additive constant $1/2$ appears naturally from classical consideration.

4 Quantum mechanics is the Fourier - transformed classical mechanics

In standard textbooks of quantum mechanics problems arise and are solved for isolated systems, when the free electromagnetic field is not included in the Hamiltonian of the system. For example a harmonic oscillator, the hydrogen atom, molecular potentials, etc. Thus on the one hand any changes in the system (transitions between levels) are associated with the photons, but on the other hand, the free electromagnetic field in such Hamiltonian does not appear. Reasonable questions arise: where the free field is and why it does not appears in the Hamiltonian H? How is the free electromagnetic field is taken into account for the quantization of such systems?

At the beginning of the 20-th century, the equations describing the quantum system have been intuitively guessed and accepted for the calculations (despite the emerging issues), because their predicted results were consistent with the experiments at the time. However, the meaning of the wave equations and the wave function itself is still not completely understood. In this section we will

show sense of the formalism of quantum mechanics making a start from bases of classical mechanics

For simplicity, consider the one-dimensional motion. The generalization to three dimensions is obvious. Suppose we have the classical equations for energy:

$$H = E \tag{3.1}$$

Here H - classical Hamilton function of the system and E - total energy of the system. Let's consider a particle in the field of $U(x)$. For a total energy of system we have two possibilities:

1) $E < 0$, the system is bounded, we have a periodic movement,

2) $E > 0$, the system is unbounded, we have a free movement.

Any function (and the Hamilton one in particular) can be expanded in a row ($E < 0$) or in integral ($E > 0$) Fourier on the complete system of functions. Photons can be described by harmonic waves which form complete set of functions for such an expansion:

$$\varphi = \exp(-ik_\alpha x^\alpha) \tag{3.2}$$

where k_α and x_α are 4 -vectors.

Consider $E < 0$, that corresponds to a discrete spectrum in quantum mechanics. The case of continuous spectrum, when $E > 0$, differs only by replacement of sums by integrals, but the entire derivation of the equations is done similarly.

Let's apply to (3.1) the opposite Fourier - transformation on coordinate x:

$$\int H(k,x)\varphi(k,x)dx = \int E\varphi(k,x)dx \tag{3.3}$$

or

$$\int \frac{\mathbf{p^2}}{2m}\, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(px-Et)}dx + \int U(x)e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(px-Et)}dx = \int E\, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(px-Et)}dx \tag{3.4}$$

from where obtain:

$$\int dx \left[-\frac{\hbar^{\mathbf{2}}}{2m}\frac{d^{\mathbf{2}}}{dx^{\mathbf{2}}} + U(x) = -i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\right] e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(px-Et)} \tag{3.5}$$

or

$$\int dx \left[(\hat{H} - E)\varphi = 0\right] \tag{3.6}$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the Hamilton operator of the system under consideration.

We note here that the replacement of an electron by a positron (formally changes the sign in the exponent for opposite one), leads to the replacement of t by $-t$ in equation (3.5). In equation (3.6) in the brackets we have the Hamilton

operator $\hat{H}$, which actually is the Liouville operator, so it has a complete set of orthogonal eigenfunctions.

Let Ψ_k (x) be a complete set of eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{H}$, then we can write down

$$\varphi(p,x) = \sum_m a_m(p)\Psi_m(x) \tag{3.7}$$

and the equation (3.6) become

$$\int dx \sum_m a_m(p) \left[(\hat{H} - E)\Psi_m = 0 \right] \tag{3.8}$$

or (taking into account that Ψ_m are eigenfunctions of the Liouville operator $\hat{H}$), the expression in square brackets is:

$$\hat{H}\Psi_m(x) = E_m\Psi_m(x). \tag{3.9}$$

This is the equation of Schroedinger in coordinate representation. It is clear that if in (3.3) we integrate on p instead of coordinate, in the same way we will obtain the Schroedinger equation, but now in p - representation.

$$\hat{H}\Psi_m(p) = E_m\Psi_m(p) \tag{3.10}$$

Let's make now inverse transformation of expression (3.8). We have:

$$\iint dx \sum_m \varphi^*(k,x) a_m \left[\hat{H}\Psi_m - E\Psi_m \right] dp = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

considering that

$$\varphi^*(k,x) = \sum_n a_n^*(p)\Psi_n^*(x) \tag{3.12}$$

we can obtain

$$\iint dx dp \sum_m \sum_n a_m a_n^* \Psi_n^*(x) \left[\hat{H} - E \right] \Psi_m(x) = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

or in another form:

$$\int dp \sum_m \sum_n a_m a_n^* \langle \Psi_n^* \mid \left[\hat{H} - E \right] \mid \Psi_m \rangle = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

Which immediately implies matrix notation of quantum mechanics.

So, we have showed that :

1) The quantum mechanics is the Fourier - transformed classical mechanics, and transformation goes on the function of a free electromagnetic field

which cannot enter obviously into the Shroedinger equations, remaining out of consideration framework.

2) The quantum theory is an incomplete (local) theory because it is based on an incomplete (local) equation (3.9) (of Shroedinger) instead of the complete (non-local) equation (3.8) where the free electromagnetic field appears as coefficients $a_m(p)$ under summation and integration.

Thus so-called wave functions are not "probability density" but are just eigenfunctions of the operator of Liouville, on which we make decomposition of the emitted / absorbed electromagnetic field. It should be stressed here, the theory based on the equation (3.8) do not suffer of the wave function collapse problem, and the Einstein – Podolsky – Rosen paradox does not appears. En consequence with the expression (3.8), the wave function is defined completely by the photon, and its collapse appears within the wavelength size of the photon (see integration on dx in (3.8)). So, within this complete theory there do not appear movements characterized by velocities more than light speed, as it take place in the Einstein – Podolsky – Rosen paradox for the Schroedinger equation (3.9).

To conclude, the uncertainty principle $\Delta p \Delta x \sim \hbar$ should be mentioned briefly. As it was mentioned above, any measurement occurs with the assistance of a photon. In this way, we can measure the coordinates of the object with the precision of up to $\Delta x = \lambda / \cos\varphi$ where λ is wavelength of the photon. However in the course of coordinate measurement the photon transfers a part of their impulse to the measured object so we can write $\Delta p = \hbar k \cos\varphi$. Combining the first expression with the second one we have $\Delta p \Delta x \sim \hbar$.

On the other hand, in view of that the phase is an invariant, we can conclude that symmetric expression also take place $\Delta E \Delta t \sim \hbar$.

5 Adiabatic invariant

From astronomical observations it is well established that we live in a non-stationary Universe, in which all parameters change over time. By taking into account this fact, let's consider an isolated mechanical system making finite movement. Without loss of a generality we consider only one coordinate q, characterizing movement of the system. Suppose also that movement of the system is characterized by a certain parameter r . Here we can take $r = r_u$- radius of the Universe or $r = R$ - scalar curvature of space. The final result will not depend on our choice. Let the parameter r adiabatically changes over time, i.e.

$$T \ll \frac{r}{\dot{r}} \tag{4.1}$$

where T - is the characteristic time, or period of motion of our system. From this relation one can obtain an estimation for the proper frequency of the system satisfying the adiabatic condition:

$$\nu \gg 10^{-18} \ \left[s^{-1}\right],$$

which actually corresponds to the always fulfilled relation $\lambda_{ph} \ll r_u$ (the wavelength of a photon is much less than size of the Universe). It is clear that the system in question (photon) in this case is not isolated, and for the total system energy we have the linear relationship $\dot{E} \sim \dot{r}$. The Hamiltonian of the system in this case depends on parameter r, therefore

$$\dot{E} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \tag{4.2}$$

Averaging this expression on the period, we obtain

$$\oint \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial E}\overline{\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right) dq = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

or designating our adiabatic invariant as h , get from (4.3)

$$\overline{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}} = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$h = \frac{1}{2\pi}\oint p dq \tag{4.5}$$

is the Planck's constant on their sense. Considering that

$$2\pi\frac{\partial h}{\partial E} = \oint \frac{\partial p}{\partial E} dq = T \tag{4.6}$$

we can write down the energy of a photon as

$$E = h\nu + E_0 \tag{4.7}$$

It should be noted here, that $E_0 \neq 0$ for general case.

6 Relation between the geometry of the Universe and the value of Planck constant.

Earlier we have shown how the quantum mechanical picture of surrounding reality appears. In the present section we obtain the important quantitative characteristic of the quantum theory - value of the Planck constant, from observable geometry of the Universe.

It is well known that General Relativity formulated on Riemann manifold has some difficulties. Among the most significant the following should be mentioned:

1. The presence of singularities.

2. Inability to take into account the "large numbers" of Eddington-Dirac which formally suggest a relation between cosmological and the quantum values.

3. The cosmological constant which has no explanation within the framework of GR.

To search for a solution of these problems we must consider more general extensions of the Riemann geometry. One of its possible natural extensions is the geometry of Riemann - Cartan in which the theory of Einstein - Cartan with asymmetrical connections can be developed. There is a variety of reasons for such choice:

1. The theory of Einstein - Cartan satisfies the principle of relativity and also the equivalence principle and does not contradict the observational data.

2. It follows necessarily from gauge theory of gravitation.

3. It is free from the problem of singularities.

4. It suggests the most natural way to explain the cosmological constant as a non-Riemannian part of the scalar curvature of space, caused by torsion.

Within Riemann's geometry, as it is known, for the tensor of electromagnetic field we have:

$$A_{\nu;\mu} - A_{\mu;\nu} = A_{\nu,\mu} - A_{\mu,\nu} \tag{5.1}$$

(due to the symmetry of connections, the covariant derivatives of 4-potencial in the field tensor can be substituted by partial derivatives). But in the case of Einstein – Cartan theory with asymmetrical connections, the ratio (5.1) is not more fulfilled and an additional term in the tensor of electromagnetic field appears.

To construct a theory we need the Lagrangian, which includes a natural linear invariant - the scalar curvature obtained by reduction of the Riemann - Cartan tensor of curvature. Let's accept from the beginning that curvature of space is small (that conforms to experiment) and, therefore, in approach interesting for us we can neglect by quadratic invariants in Lagrangian, having written down action for a gravitational field and a matter in Riemann-Cartan geometry this manner:

$$S = S_g + S_m = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int\limits_{\Omega} \overset{\frown}{R}\sqrt{-g}d\Omega + \frac{1}{c}\int\limits_{\Omega} \overset{\frown}{\mathcal{L}}_m\sqrt{-g}d\Omega \tag{5.2}$$

Here c – light velocity, G – gravitational constant, g – determinant of the metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$, $\overset{\frown}{R}$ is scalar curvature and $\overset{\frown}{\mathcal{L}}_m$ is the Lagrangian of the matter which have been written down for Riemann-Cartan manifold, $d\Omega = d^4x$. Varying it we obtain

$$\delta S_g = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int\limits_{\Omega}\left(\overset{\frown}{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\overset{\frown}{R}\right)\delta g^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{-g}d\Omega \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\delta S_m = \frac{1}{2c}\int\limits_{\Omega}\overset{\frown}{T}_{\alpha\beta}\delta g^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{-g}d\Omega \tag{5.4}$$

or

$$-\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int\limits_{\Omega}\left(\breve{R}_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\breve{R}-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\breve{T}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta g^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{-g}d\Omega=0$$

and finally

$$\breve{R}_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\breve{R}=\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\breve{T}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{5.5}$$

Here $\breve{T}_{\alpha\beta}$is a tensor of density of energy - momentum of the matter in space with geometry of R-C. Simplifying on indexes we have:

$$\breve{R}=-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\breve{T}$$

or in other form

$$(R-4\Lambda)=-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\breve{T} \quad , \tag{5.6}$$

where R - is the scalar formed of the Riemann's tensor, $\Lambda=(R-\breve{R})/4$ and $\breve{T}$ - trace of tensor $\breve{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ of electromagnetic field in R-C geometry.

In the right side of (5.6) we have the value associated with the difference of geometry from the Riemann one (the trace of a tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ for the electromagnetic field is equal to zero in Riemann's geometry because of symmetry of connections) that we want to evaluate. The problem in the direct estimation of the value of $\breve{T}$ is that we do not know the true metric of the Universe we live in. We also do not know the real connection coefficients of our space. For this reason, we cannot directly calculate the value that we are interested in. Accordingly, we cannot just write out a corresponding amendment to the energy of electromagnetic field. However we can estimate this value indirectly, considering that the left part of expression (5.6) contains observable values.

As follows from the section "adiabatic invariant", for the action of electromagnetic field we have:

$$S=S_0-h \tag{5.7}$$

where S_0 is action for electromagnetic field and h - is the adiabatic invariant caused by slowly changing curvature of space in the Riemann-Cartan Universe. Then, considering that the trace of the tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ for the electromagnetic field is equal to zero in Riemann's geometry, we can write at once from (5.6)

$$(R-4\Lambda)\,\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}\approx 2\frac{h}{\Delta t_0}=2h\nu_0 \tag{5.8}$$

We emphasize here that on the left side of this expression, we have the observed quantities which characterize the Universe geometry, while on the right side,

appears the Planck constant, which in turn, characterize a microcosm. The value Δt_0 is minimum possible interval of time corresponding to action h. To find it we notice that energy of free electromagnetic field can change only by the value $h\nu$. (see first part of the paper). Let's consider as an example atom of hydrogen (for our purposes we could consider any system). The first Bohr orbit is characterized by value $M_1 = m_e a_0 V_0 = \hbar$, where m_e is the electron mass, a_0 – Bohr radius and V_0 - velocity of the electron at first Bohr orbit. State with $M_0 = 0$ is not achievable for our system. As radius reduces from a_0 to the Compton wavelength $\lambda_c/2\pi$, the value $M_1 = \hbar$ cannot be changed, for the photon cannot be emitted. So we can write $\lambda_c c/2\pi = a_0 V_0$, or $\nu_0 = 1/\Delta t_0 = c/a_0 = 2\pi V_0/\lambda_c = 5.6652 \times 10^{18}[s^{-1}]$. Here we need to emphasize especially that time, as well as space, are continuous, i.e. they do not quantized. The interval $\Delta t_0 = 1.7651 \times 10^{-19}[s]$ is the minimum interval of time, corresponding to value h. From expression (5.8), we can write

$$(R - 4\Lambda)\,\frac{c^3 a_0}{16\pi G} \approx h \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$R = 4\pi^2 \frac{H_0^2}{c^2}. \tag{5.10}$$

Let's estimate the Planck constant. The measured values of the Hubble constant were presented in works (Riess et al. 2009) $H_0 = 74.2 \pm 3.6$ $[km\ s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}]$ and (Riess et al. 2011) $H_0 = 73.8 \pm 2.4$ $[km\ s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}]$. Let's take for our assessment average value $H_0 = 74$ $[km\ s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}]$. Cosmological constant Λ we adopt according to measurements $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ and we accept critical density $\rho_c = 1.88 \times 10^{-29}[g\ cm^{-3}]$. Then, from expression (5.9) we obtain value for the Planck's constant $h = 6.6 \times 10^{-27}[erg\ s]$, that coincides to within the second sign with experimental value.

Recently, the issue of a possible change of the fine structure constant α on time is widely debated, so for convenience, we put here another interesting relationship, which follows from (5.9)

$$(R - 4\Lambda)\,\frac{c^4}{16\pi G} = 2\pi m_e c^2 \alpha \tag{5.11}$$

7 Other observational effects

The results suggested in present work can be proved by independent experiments. The most basic of them is of course the double slit experiment. Recently it was accurately carried out by Demjanov (2010), which clearly argued for our model of non-local quantum theory. Another possible experiment could be a measurement of the blackbody spectrum in far Reyleigh-Jeans region. As it

was shown earlier, if the geometry of Riemann-Cartan has non-zero scalar curvature, in expression for energy of electromagnetic field appears the additional term $h\nu$. The energy of one photon in this case is:

$$E_\nu = E_\nu^0 + h\nu \tag{6.1}$$

where ν is a frequency of a photon, and small parameter, corresponding to the energy of free electromagnetic field within the framework of the Einstein – Cartan theory, is

$$E_\nu^0 = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int (E^2 + H^2)dV \quad . \tag{6.2}$$

Integration here is carried out over the volume of one photon. Intensity of emission in this case one can write as

$$B_\nu = (E_\nu^0 + h\nu)\frac{2\nu^2}{c^2}\frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{E_\nu^0 + h\nu}{kT}\} - 1} \tag{6.3}$$

As one can see, in Wien and in close Reyleigh-Jeans regions the spectrum is almost coincide with Planck one because of small value of E_ν^0. However it is clear that the small additive energy E_ν^0 can lead to some deviations from Planck spectrum in far Reyleigh-Jeans region and, probably, such deviation could be measured experimentally. It is necessary to emphasize that such experiment has independent great importance because will allow to state an assessment to the value E_ν^0 and to throw light on the geometrical nature of electromagnetic field.

8 Conclusion

In present work we made the next logical step towards implementation of the program begun by Einstein and Schrödinger in the fifties of the XX century (model of Einstein – Cartan - Schroedinger). Namely we show that the Planck constant is actually the adiabatic invariant of a free electromagnetic field, characterized by scalar curvature of space of the Riemann – Cartan geometry. The main results of present work are:

1) For the first time we obtained the ratio between Riemannian scalar curvature of the Universe, the Cosmological constant and Planck's constant (see expression (5.9)), true up to the second sign ($\Omega_\Lambda \gg \Omega_b$).

2) It is stressed that due to change of geometry of the Universe, the Planck constant changes on time too.

3) The physical sense of the cosmological constant, as the no - Riemannian part of the scalar curvature, which appears due to the presence of torsion (asymmetrical connections), is revealed for the first time.

4) Dependence of the fine structure constant on the total scalar curvature of the Universe is obtained (5.11).

5) Within the used framework, natural unification of gravitation with the quantum theory is obtained.

6) In linear on curvature invariants approach, the spectral density of the blackbody radiation is obtained.

7) Bases of the quantum theory are reconsidered and the physical sense of wave function is found. It is shown that if we eliminate an unnatural axiom of existence of wave function, the huge discrepancy between calculated by the QFT methods and observed cosmological constant, disappears.

8) The approach based on the equation (3.8), completely removes a problem of collapse of wave function and classically resolves the Einstein – Podolsky – Rosen paradox. According to (3.8) "wave function", as it should be, is completely determined by an emitted / absorbed photon and its collapse occurs at scales of wavelength of the photon (integration on dx in (3.8)). Thus in this way there is no need for transmission of a signal with a superlight speed as it takes place in paradox of E-P-R for the Schroedinger equation (3.9).

9 Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge Dr. J. Saucedo for the valuable criticism and comments. I also grateful to the Pulkovo Observatory team and particularly to Dr. E. Poliakow for the opportunity to spent part of 2008 year in the Observatory.

10 Bibliography

Debye, P. 1910. Der Wahrscheinfichkeitsbegriff in der Theorie der Strahlung. Annalen der Physik. 33, 1427 - 1434.

Demjanov, V.V. 2010. Experiments performed in order to reveal fundamental differences between the diffraction and interference of waves and electrons. arXiv: 1002.3880

Einstein, A. 1905. Uber einen die Erzeugung und Verwandlung des Lichtes betreffenden heuristischen Gesichtspunkt. Annalen der Physik. 17, 132-148. ; Einstein, A. 1965. On a heuristic view point concerning the production and transformation of light. American Journal of Physics. 33, 367-374.

Einstein, A. 1906. Zur Theorie der Lichterzengung und Lichtabsorption. Annales der Physik, 20, 199 – 206.

Grangier, P., Roger, G., Aspect, A.. Europhys. Lett. Vol.1. Pp. 173-179, 1986.

Jammer, M. 1967. The conceptual development of quantum mechanics. Mc Graw Hill. New York.

Ljjas, A., Steinhardt, P.J., Loeb, A. 2013. Inflationary paradigm in trouble after Planck2013. arXiv: 1304.2785.

Riess A.G., Macri L., Casertano S., et al. 2009. A Redetermination of the Hubble Constant with the Hubble Space Telescope from a Differential Distance Ladder. Ap.J. 699, 539-563

Riess A.G., Macri L., Casertano S., et al. 2011. A 3% Solution: Determination of the Hubble Constant with the Hubble Space Telescope and Wide Field Camera 3. Ap.J. 730, 119-136.